IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 24977 of 2006

DATED: 29.3.2007

Between:
D.Lakshmana Charyulu petitioner

And

Manager, Endowments Department,
Sri Ramachandra Swamy Temple
Malakpet, Hyderabad & another Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO. 24977 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondents not to insist the petitioner to vacate the premises and not to interfere with the day-today archika services being rendered by the petitioner at Sri Rama Chandra Swamy temple, Malakpet, Hyderabad.

The petitioner claims that he was appointed as paricharika on 5.2.2005 on a consolidated salary of Rs.1500/- for a period of eleven months. That his term of service was extended by order dated 22.3.2006. He alleges that the Ex-chairman and other committee members have developed grudge against him and with the aid of some anti-social elements are threatening him and under their influence the first respondent on 25.11.2006 orally informed the petitioner to leave the temple by 1.12.2006, without assigning any reasons. Hence, the present writ petition contending that the action of the first respondent amounts to depriving the livelihood and personal liberty of the petitioner.

Per contra, the second respondent filed counter affidavit denying the allegations made in the writ petition and stating that the appointment of the petitioner is purely temporary and does not confer any right and the same expired on 31.12.2006 and that he is being continued in terms of the interim orders of this Court dated 1.12.2006. It is also stated that the petitioner has not been behaving properly with

the Trust Board members and keeping in view the attitude of the petitioner, a resolution dated 18.11.2006 was passed resolving to terminate the service of the petitioner.

Heard the learned counsel for petitioner, learned Government Pleader for Endowments and learned counsel for second respondent temple.

Admittedly, the appointment of the petitioner is purely on temporary basis on a consolidated salary of Rs.1500/- for a period of eleven months. The respondents allege that the petitioner is not behaving properly with the Trust Board members, as such a resolution dated 18.11.2006 was passed to terminate his services. The appointment of the petitioner itself is on temporary basis and does not confer any rights and in view of the resolution of the Trust Board dated 18.11.2006 resolving to terminate the services of the petitioner, this Court cannot direct the respondents to continue the petitioner. There are no merits in the writ petition warranting interference of this Court. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. However, keeping in view the submission of the learned counsel for petitioner that the respondents may evict the petitioner forcibly, petitioner is granted three weeks time to vacate the temple premises and handover possession to the temple authorities.

Subject to above, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

DATE: 29.3.07
TVK

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 24977 of 2006

DATED: 29.3.2007